

Data-Driven Models & Mathematical Finance: Opposition or Apposition?

DPhil in Machine Learning Viva Voce

Babak Mahdavi-Damghani¹

University of Oxford

¹*babak.mahdavidamghani@oxford-man.ox.ac.uk*

September 19th, 2019

1 Introduction

Foreword

Historical Context

Original Contribution

2 A Bottom-up Approach to the Financial Markets

Useful material for Quant Finance from Mathematical Biology

Neural Network Architecture and Learning Potential

Dynamic of the Financial Market

Stability of Financial Systems and Multi-Target Tracking

3 Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Cointelation, Inferred Correlation & Portfolio Optimization

Anomaly Detection & Volatility Surface de-Arbitraging

Big Data Changing the Vanilla Options Landscape

Clustering for Distribution & Regime Change Forecasting

4 Conclusion

A Bottom-Up Approach to the Financial Markets

Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Successful Research Strategies

Research Interest vs Publication

Some dedicate their whole lives to one complicated problem with a rare (but often nothing) outstanding outcome (Grigori Perelman).

Some other prefer adhering to the "publish or perish" model at the cost of not producing the same quality research.

Terence Tao suggests to have one big problem to go back to when inspired but adhere to (or at least do not neglect) the "publish or perish" model most of the time.

Successful Research Strategies

Research Interest vs Publication

Some dedicate their whole lives to one complicated problem with a rare (but often nothing) outstanding outcome (Grigori Perelman).

Some other prefer adhering to the "publish or perish" model at the cost of not producing the same quality research.

Terence Tao suggests to have one big problem to go back to when inspired but adhere to (or at least do not neglect) the "publish or perish" model most of the time.

Research Complexity vs Recognition

You can dedicate lots of energy on problems you find personally stimulating but nobody cares about.

You can dedicate little energy on problems you do not find personally very stimulating but others may find useful.

John Conway's Game of Life happens to be the latter case (surprisingly).

Successful Research Strategies

Research Interest vs Publication

Some dedicate their whole lives to one complicated problem with a rare (but often nothing) outstanding outcome (Grigori Perelman).

Some other prefer adhering to the "publish or perish" model at the cost of not producing the same quality research.

Terence Tao suggests to have one big problem to go back to when inspired but adhere to (or at least do not neglect) the "publish or perish" model most of the time.

Research Complexity vs Recognition

You can dedicate lots of energy on problems you find personally stimulating but nobody cares about.

You can dedicate little energy on problems you do not find personally very stimulating but others may find useful.

John Conway's Game of Life happens to be the latter case (surprisingly).

Theory vs Simulation

A good theory should be able to be simulated.

A good simulation may change/iron out a theory.

Cedric Villani thinks that the process can go back and forth until the picture becomes clearer.

Memorable Events In uencing Research

How doesMorality Emerge in human behaviour Axelrod [3, 4]:

Memorable Events In uencing Research

How doesMorality Emerge in human behaviour Axelrod [3, 4]:

Memorable Events In uencing Research

How does Morality Emerge in human behaviour Axelrod [3, 4]:

Shocking work experience and the necessity to exude that frustration with papers and vulgarization

How does Morality Emerge in human behaviour Axelrod [3, 4]:

Shocking work experience and the necessity to exude that frustration with papers and vulgarization

Lack of Integrity (Apophenia, Anomaly Detection, Misleading Statement and Actions)

How does Morality Emerge in human behaviour Axelrod [3, 4]:

Shocking work experience and the necessity to exude that frustration with papers and vulgarization

Lack of Integrity (Apophenia, Anomaly Detection, Misleading Statement and Actions)

Models with incredible rigour when unnecessary but with total disregard to rigour when it becomes critical (e.g: systemic risk associated to covariance).

Memorable Events In uencing Research

Part I :

How does Morality Emerge in human behaviour Axelrod [3, 4]:

Part II :

Shocking work experience and the necessity to exude that frustration with papers and vulgarization

Lack of Integrity (Apophenia, Anomaly Detection, Misleading Statement and Actions)

Models with incredible rigour when unnecessary but with total disregard to rigour when it becomes critical (e.g: systemic risk associated to covariance).

1 Introduction

Foreword

Historical Context

Original Contribution

2 A Bottom-up Approach to the Financial Markets

Useful material for Quant Finance from Mathematical Biology

Neural Network Architecture and Learning Potential

Dynamic of the Financial Market

Stability of Financial Systems and Multi-Target Tracking

3 Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Cointelation, Inferred Correlation & Portfolio Optimization

Anomaly Detection & Volatility Surface de-Arbitraging

Big Data Changing the Vanilla Options Landscape

Clustering for Distribution & Regime Change Forecasting

4 Conclusion

A Bottom-Up Approach to the Financial Markets

Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Context

Market Changing Financial Crisis: 10 year anniversary

Market Changing Financial Crisis: 10 year anniversary

The Subprime crisis triggers malaise [15, 20, 28, 21]: This encourages Socially Responsible Finance

Market Changing Financial Crisis: 10 year anniversary

The Subprime crisis triggers malaise [15, 20, 28, 21]: This encourages Socially Responsible Finance

Quantitative Finance was instructed to change [17]: From models assuming data to data reassuming the models,

There is a wave associated to tying Classic Financial Mathematics models towards more Data Driven problems: rise of Machine Learning.

Market Changing Financial Crisis: 10 year anniversary

The Subprime crisis triggers malaise [15, 20, 28, 21]: This encourages Socially Responsible Finance

Quantitative Finance was instructed to change [17]: From models assuming data to data reassuming the models,

There is a wave associated to tying Classic Financial Mathematics models towards more Data Driven problems: rise of Machine Learning.

The Rise of Big Data

What is Big Data : lots of anecdotal claims about how big is Big Data [9, 2, 16] but the term refers more to the concept of "datafication" (increase in size, better confidence interval but rather change in perspective).

Market Changing Financial Crisis: 10 year anniversary

The Subprime crisis triggers malaise [15, 20, 28, 21]: This encourages Socially Responsible Finance

Quantitative Finance was instructed to change [17]: From models assuming data to data reassuming the models,

There is a wave associated to tying Classic Financial Mathematics models towards more Data Driven problems: rise of Machine Learning.

The Rise of Big Data

What is Big Data : lots of anecdotal claims about how big is Big Data [9, 2, 16] but the term refers more to the concept of "datafication" (increase in size, better confidence interval but rather change in perspective).

The Flash Crashes (eg: [29]) calls for a modelling revolution [5, 12, 6] (BU vs. TD): the Brownian motion assumption to model markets is increasingly difficult to defend.

1 Introduction

Foreword

Historical Context

Original Contribution

2 A Bottom-up Approach to the Financial Markets

Useful material for Quant Finance from Mathematical Biology

Neural Network Architecture and Learning Potential

Dynamic of the Financial Market

Stability of Financial Systems and Multi-Target Tracking

3 Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Cointelation, Inferred Correlation & Portfolio Optimization

Anomaly Detection & Volatility Surface de-Arbitraging

Big Data Changing the Vanilla Options Landscape

Clustering for Distribution & Regime Change Forecasting

4 Conclusion

A Bottom-Up Approach to the Financial Markets

Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Original Contribution: Part I (Opposition)

Exposing the benefits of bringing Mathematical Biology and Evolutionary Dynamics into 21st century QF .

Original Contribution: Part I (Opposition)

Exposing the benefits of bringing Mathematical Biology and Evolutionary Dynamics into 21st century QF .

Turning classic QF strategies into NN format in order to prepare for our strategy tournament,

Original Contribution: Part I (Opposition)

Exposing the benefits of bringing Mathematical Biology and Evolutionary Dynamics into 21st century QF .

Turning classic QF strategies into NN format in order to prepare for our strategy tournament,

Formalization of the HFTE rough tournament and exposing why this is not a good approach,

Original Contribution: Part I (Opposition)

Exposing the benefits of bringing Mathematical Biology and Evolutionary Dynamics into 21st century QF .

Turning classic QF strategies into NN format in order to prepare for our strategy tournament,

Formalization of the HFTE rough tournament and exposing why this is not a good approach,

Formalizing the path of interaction concept in the context of an HFTE Game,

Original Contribution: Part I (Opposition)

Exposing the benefits of bringing Mathematical Biology and Evolutionary Dynamics into 21st century QF .

Turning classic QF strategies into NN format in order to prepare for our strategy tournament,

Formalization of the HFTE rough tournament and exposing why this is not a good approach,

Formalizing the path of interaction concept in the context of an HFTE Game,

Performing a computer tournament with a set of simple fixed classic strategies as well as proposing some interesting connection to other seemingly unconnected concepts (Morality and Complexity/Diversity debate),

Original Contribution: Part I (Opposition)

Exposing the benefits of bringing Mathematical Biology and Evolutionary Dynamics into 21st century QF .

Turning classic QF strategies into NN format in order to prepare for our strategy tournament,

Formalization of the HFTE rough tournament and exposing why this is not a good approach,

Formalizing the path of interaction concept in the context of an HFTE Game,

Performing a computer tournament with a set of simple fixed classic strategies as well as proposing some interesting connection to other seemingly unconnected concepts (Morality and Complexity/Diversity debate),

Formalizing the methodology for Particle Filter which aim is to track ecosystems of strategies through time by looking at price dynamics only as well as performing few simulations.

Original Contribution: Part II (Apposition)

Detective work : exposing where $\sigma \propto K; T \cdot S^4$ came from and why it is a necessary but not sufficient condition because of wrong data assumptions (moment explosion vs linearity),

Original Contribution: Part II (Apposition)

Detective work : exposing where $\sigma \propto \sqrt{K; T \cdot S^4}$ came from and why it is a necessary but not sufficient condition because of wrong data assumptions (moment explosion vs linearity),
Exposing the limitations of the SVI model (arbitrage constraint, wings & liquidity),

Original Contribution: Part II (Apposition)

Detective work : exposing where $\sigma \propto \sqrt{K; T \cdot S^4}$ came from and why it is a necessary but not sufficient condition because of wrong data assumptions (moment explosion vs linearity),
Exposing the limitations of the SVI model (arbitrage constraint, wings & liquidity),
Addressing these problems by constructing the IVP model ,

Original Contribution: Part II (Apposition)

Detective work : exposing where $\sigma \propto \sqrt{K; T \cdot S^4}$ came from and why it is a necessary but not sufficient condition because of wrong data assumptions (moment explosion vs linearity),

Exposing the limitations of the SVI model (arbitrage constraint, wings & liquidity),

Addressing these problems by constructing the IVP model ,

Using proxying and de-arbitraging (on the Mid or leveraging on Liquidity) in order to reconstruct implied volatility scenarios,

Original Contribution: Part II (Apposition)

Detective work : exposing where $\sigma \propto \sqrt{K; T \cdot S^2}$ came from and why it is a necessary but not sufficient condition because of wrong data assumptions (moment explosion vs linearity),

Exposing the limitations of the SVI model (arbitrage constraint, wings & liquidity),

Addressing these problems by constructing the IVP model ,

Using proxying and de-arbitraging (on the Mid or leveraging on Liquidity) in order to reconstruct implied volatility scenarios,

Exposing the Financial Mathematics limiting assumptions in the context of Markowitz especially when contrasted with real data ,

Original Contribution: Part II (Apposition)

Detective work : exposing where $\sigma^2 \propto K; T \cdot S^4$ came from and why it is a necessary but not sufficient condition because of wrong data assumptions (moment explosion vs linearity),
Exposing the limitations of the SVI model (arbitrage constraint, wings & liquidity),
Addressing these problems by constructing the IVP model ,
Using proxying and de-arbitraging (on the Mid or leveraging on Liquidity) in order to reconstruct implied volatility scenarios,
Exposing the Financial Mathematics limiting assumptions in the context of Markowitz especially when contrasted with real data ,
Cointelation model construction and it's mirrored
Correlation approximation for data driven applications and Proper Market Conduct ,

Original Contribution: Part II (Apposition)

Detective work : exposing where $\sigma^2 \propto K; T \cdot S^4$ came from and why it is a necessary but not sufficient condition because of wrong data assumptions (moment explosion vs linearity),

Exposing the limitations of the SVI model (arbitrage constraint, wings & liquidity),

Addressing these problems by constructing the IVP model ,

Using proxying and de-arbitraging (on the Mid or leveraging on Liquidity) in order to reconstruct implied volatility scenarios,

Exposing the Financial Mathematics limiting assumptions in the context of Markowitz especially when contrasted with real data ,

Cointelation model construction and it's mirrored

Correlation approximation for data driven applications and Proper Market Conduct ,

Its Portfolio Optimization using several the Bandwise-Gaussian mixture model as well as Deep Learning,

Original Contribution: Part II (Apposition)

Detective work : exposing where $\sigma^2 \propto K; T \cdot S^4$ came from and why it is a necessary but not sufficient condition because of wrong data assumptions (moment explosion vs linearity),

Exposing the limitations of the SVI model (arbitrage constraint, wings & liquidity),

Addressing these problems by constructing the IVP model ,

Using proxying and de-arbitraging (on the Mid or leveraging on Liquidity) in order to reconstruct implied volatility scenarios,

Exposing the Financial Mathematics limiting assumptions in the context of Markowitz especially when contrasted with real data ,

Cointelation model construction and it's mirrored

Correlation approximation for data driven applications and Proper Market Conduct ,

Its Portfolio Optimization using several the Bandwise-Gaussian mixture model as well as Deep Learning,

Reconciling discordant Risk Anticipative Responsible VaR.

1 Introduction

Foreword

Historical Context

Original Contribution

2 A Bottom-up Approach to the Financial Markets

Useful material for Quant Finance from Mathematical Biology

Neural Network Architecture and Learning Potential

Dynamic of the Financial Market

Stability of Financial Systems and Multi-Target Tracking

3 Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Cointelation, Inferred Correlation & Portfolio Optimization

Anomaly Detection & Volatility Surface de-Arbitraging

Big Data Changing the Vanilla Options Landscape

Clustering for Distribution & Regime Change Forecasting

4 Conclusion

A Bottom-Up Approach to the Financial Markets

Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Two simple questions for two simple definitions

Definition (Top-Down Vs Bottom-Up)

Top-Down (TD): Any **stochastic** quantitative approach which assumes that the market is random (or close to random) but for which we can create interesting dynamic strategies .

Two simple questions for two simple definitions

Definition (Top-Down Vs Bottom-Up)

Top-Down (TD): Any **stochastic** quantitative approach which assumes that the market is random (or close to random) but for which we can create interesting dynamic strategies .

Bottom-Up (BU): Any **deterministic** quantitative approach which assumes that strategies interacting create the dynamics of the market (new angle).

Two simple questions for two simple definitions

We have tried to understand the financial markets using the top down approach for 100 years with (very) partial success. Can we look at it in a different angle ?

Definition (Top-Down Vs Bottom-Up)

Top-Down (TD): Any **stochastic** quantitative approach which assumes that the market is random (or close to random) but for which we can create interesting dynamic strategies .

Bottom-Up (BU): Any **deterministic** quantitative approach which assumes that strategies interacting create the dynamics of the market (new angle).

Two simple questions for two simple definitions

We have tried to understand the financial markets using the top down approach for 100 years with (very) partial success. Can we look at it in a different angle ?

Definition (Top-Down Vs Bottom-Up)

Top-Down (TD): Any **stochastic** quantitative approach which assumes that the market is random (or close to random) but for which we can create interesting dynamic strategies .

Bottom-Up (BU): Any **deterministic** quantitative approach which assumes that strategies interacting create the dynamics of the market (new angle).

Can we use this new angle to "solve" the market?

The Scientific Method for "solving" the market

Question: What do we mean by "solve"?

The Scientific Method for "solving" the market

Question: What do we mean by "solve"?

Answer: We want to look at market price processes and be able to tell what systematic strategies were involved, what their P&L has been and this at all times (including in the future): ecosystem details.

The Scientific Method for "solving" the market

Question: What do we mean by "solve"?

Answer: We want to look at market price processes and be able to tell what systematic strategies were involved, what their P&L has been and this at all times (including in the future): ecosystem details.

Caveat: Is the idea mad, ambitious or both?

The Scientific Method for "solving" the market

Scientific Method : A good theory can be simulated but simulations can also help bring intuition on what the theory might be [34].

Question: What do we mean by "solve"?

Answer: We want to look at market price processes and be able to tell what systematic strategies were involved, what their P&L has been and this at all times (including in the future): ecosystem details.

Caveat: Is the idea mad, ambitious or both?

1 Introduction

Foreword

Historical Context

Original Contribution

2 A Bottom-up Approach to the Financial Markets

Useful material for Quant Finance from Mathematical Biology

Neural Network Architecture and Learning Potential

Dynamic of the Financial Market

Stability of Financial Systems and Multi-Target Tracking

3 Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Cointelation, Inferred Correlation & Portfolio Optimization

Anomaly Detection & Volatility Surface de-Arbitraging

Big Data Changing the Vanilla Options Landscape

Clustering for Distribution & Regime Change Forecasting

4 Conclusion

A Bottom-Up Approach to the Financial Markets

Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Predator/Prey models

biological ecosystems predator/prey (PP) [35, 8] models (a, b, c, d, e, f and g are rate of growth or predation). The relationship between x^t , y^t and z^t is deterministic :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx^t}{dt} & ax^t - bx^t \cdot y^t \\ \frac{dy^t}{dt} & cy^t - dx^t \cdot y^t - ey^t \cdot z^t \\ \frac{dz^t}{dt} & fz^t - gy^t \cdot z^t \end{cases}$$

Predator/Prey models

We can make the hypotheses that the economical cycles or oscillation in prices are due to the same type of disruptions that can occur in biological ecosystems predator/prey (PP) [35, 8] models (a, b, c, d, e, f and g are rate of growth or predation). The relationship between x^t , y^t and z^t is deterministic :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx^t}{dt} & ax^t - bx^t \cdot y^t \\ \frac{dy^t}{dt} & cy^t - dx^t \cdot y^t - ey^t \cdot z^t \\ \frac{dz^t}{dt} & fz^t - gy^t \cdot z^t \end{cases}$$

Predator/Prey models

We can make the hypotheses that the economical cycles or oscillation in prices are due to the same type of disruptions that can occur in biological ecosystems predator/prey (PP) [35, 8] models (a, b, c, d, e, f and g are rate of growth or predation). The relationship between x^t , y^t and z^t is deterministic :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx^t}{dt} = ax^t - bx^t \cdot y^t \\ \frac{dy^t}{dt} = cy^t - dx^t \cdot y^t - ey^t \cdot z^t \\ \frac{dz^t}{dt} = fz^t + gy^t \cdot z^t \end{cases}$$

Answering if an ecosystem (or by extension financial market) composed of 3 strategies is stable would come to studying the Jacobian matrix $J(x; y; z)$ [8]. If all eigenvalues of $J(x; y; z)$ have negative real parts then our system is asymptotically stable. Though simplistic, the model can easily be expanded to more complex ecological niches.

$$J(x; y; z) = \begin{pmatrix} a & -by & 0 \\ c & -dx - ey & 0 \\ 0 & g & f + gz \end{pmatrix}$$

Axelrod's [3, 4] computer tournament and Nowak's work on Evolutionary Dynamics (ED) [30] on invasion need to influence 21st century Quantitative Finance especially the BU approach.

Axelrod's [3, 4] computer tournament and Nowak's work on Evolutionary Dynamics (ED) [30] on invasion need to influence 21st century Quantitative Finance especially the BU approach.

Axelrod's [3, 4] computer tournament and Nowak's work on Evolutionary Dynamics (ED) [30] on invasion need to influence 21st century Quantitative Finance especially the BU approach.

The methodology in ED is interesting because the strategies are both systematic & interacting with each other (like it is the case in algo trading).

A first application in Economics: Minority Game

In the Minority Game [7], developed by Challet, Marsili and Zhang, players need to choose between two options (0; 1). Those who have selected the option chosen by the minority "win".

A first application in Economics: Minority Game

In the Minority Game [7], developed by Challet, Marsili and Zhang, players need to choose between two options (0; 1). Those who have selected the option chosen by the minority "win".

A first application in Economics: Minority Game

In the Minority Game [7], developed by Challet, Marsili and Zhang, players need to choose between two options (0; 1). Those who have selected the option chosen by the minority "win".

Physical Laws can emerge from these simple rules. We can observe that 2^{-N} is only a function of $2m^{-N}$ which considering the complexity of the interactions between the set of agents can be quite remarkable.

A first application in Economics: Minority Game

In the Minority Game [7], developed by Challet, Marsili and Zhang, players need to choose between two options (0; 1). Those who have selected the option chosen by the minority "win".

Criticism: Is this realistic for Economics? Maybe, but not for algorithmic trading (eg: TF strategy in a TF concentrated ecosystem)?

Physical Laws can emerge from these simple rules. We can observe that 2^{-N} is only a function of $2m^{-N}$ which considering the complexity of the interactions between the set of agents can be quite remarkable.

1 Introduction

Foreword

Historical Context

Original Contribution

2 A Bottom-up Approach to the Financial Markets

Useful material for Quant Finance from Mathematical Biology

Neural Network Architecture and Learning Potential

Dynamic of the Financial Market

Stability of Financial Systems and Multi-Target Tracking

3 Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Cointelation, Inferred Correlation & Portfolio Optimization

Anomaly Detection & Volatility Surface de-Arbitraging

Big Data Changing the Vanilla Options Landscape

Clustering for Distribution & Regime Change Forecasting

4 Conclusion

A Bottom-Up Approach to the Financial Markets

Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Bringing these Ideas into Electronic Trading

As ML "translation" of ED and PP models, we have Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) [14], introduced in 2014, usually involve a system of two neural networks competing in a zero-sum game settings. This process continues as long as needed since the lack of data is no longer a problem.

Bringing these Ideas into Electronic Trading

As ML "translation" of ED and PP models, we have Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) [14], introduced in 2014, usually involve a system of two neural networks competing in a zero-sum game settings. This process continues as long as needed since the lack of data is no longer a problem.

The **communication tool** is the order book and the game is not zero-sum game in our research.

Bringing these Ideas into Electronic Trading

As ML "translation" of ED and PP models, we have Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) [14], introduced in 2014, usually involve a system of two neural networks competing in a zero-sum game settings. This process continues as long as needed since the lack of data is no longer a problem.

The **communication tool** is the order book and the game is not zero-sum game in our research. The strategies are in **High Frequency Financial Funnel** (HFFF) format [23].

High Frequency Financial Funnel & Classic Strategies

HFFF can model financial strategies :

High Frequency Financial Funnel & Classic Strategies

HFFF can model financial strategies :

Trend Following (TF)

High Frequency Financial Funnel & Classic Strategies

HFFF can model financial strategies :

Trend Following (TF)

MACD

High Frequency Financial Funnel & Classic Strategies

HFFF can model financial strategies :

Trend Following (TF)

MACD

MLR

High Frequency Financial Funnel & Classic Strategies

HFFF can model financial strategies :

Trend Following (TF)

MACD

MLR

XOR

High Frequency Financial Funnel & Classic Strategies

HFFF can model financial strategies :

Trend Following (TF)

MACD

MLR

XOR

Lasso Regressions etc ...

High Frequency Financial Funnel & Classic Strategies

HFFF can model financial strategies :

Trend Following (TF)

MACD

MLR

XOR

Lasso Regressions etc ...

Architecture Complexity and strategy sophistication explains the incentive for Deep Learning (DL).

Paradoxically we witness potential for regularization as the network becomes more complex.

1 Introduction

Foreword

Historical Context

Original Contribution

2 A Bottom-up Approach to the Financial Markets

Useful material for Quant Finance from Mathematical Biology

Neural Network Architecture and Learning Potential

Dynamic of the Financial Market

Stability of Financial Systems and Multi-Target Tracking

3 Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Cointelation, Inferred Correlation & Portfolio Optimization

Anomaly Detection & Volatility Surface de-Arbitraging

Big Data Changing the Vanilla Options Landscape

Clustering for Distribution & Regime Change Forecasting

4 Conclusion

A Bottom-Up Approach to the Financial Markets

Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Genetic Algorithm & NN Complexity

Genetic Algorithm & NN Complexity

NN depth & breadth
should contribute in
increasing the learning
potential .

Genetic Algorithm & NN Complexity

NN depth & breadth
should contribute in
increasing the learning
potential . [The TF](#)
[\(bottom\)](#) is less
complex

Genetic Algorithm & NN Complexity

NN depth & breadth
should contribute in
increasing the learning
potential . The TF
(bottom) is less
complex than the MLR
(middle)

Genetic Algorithm & NN Complexity

NN depth & breadth should contribute in increasing the learning potential. The TF (bottom) is less complex than the MLR (middle) which is less complex than the XOR (top) strategy.

Genetic Algorithm & NN Complexity

NN depth & breadth should contribute in increasing the learning potential. The TF (bottom) is less complex than the MLR (middle) which is less complex than the XOR (top) strategy.

Question: Can we make an analogy to the predator prey ecosystem? Do we get similar behaviour as the Lotka-Volterra equations [35, 19]?

The Path of Interaction

Answer: not quite but there are a few interesting links (exponential growth of the smaller prey/self fulfilling strategies such as TF) but they are many issues (classification, timescale etc...).

The Path of Interaction

Answer: not quite but there are a few interesting links (exponential growth of the smaller prey/self fulfilling strategies such as TF) but they are many issues (classification, timescale etc...).

The genetic algorithm presented in the previous slide creates complications (classification issues). This pushed us to study the bottom-up approach using concepts taken from evolutionary dynamics and created the the concept of Path of Interaction : table of 7 rows documenting the interaction's details (eg: table above).

The Path of Interaction

Answer: not quite but there are a few interesting links (exponential growth of the smaller prey/self fulfilling strategies such as TF) but they are many issues (classification, timescale etc...).

Strategy	seed'	TF1	TF2	TF1	TF2
Iteration	0		1		2
Signal	N/A	+1	+1	+1	+1
OB	$0; P^1; 1; 1; 1$	$0; 0; P^1; 1; 1$	$0; 0; 0; P^1; 1$	$0; 0; 0; 0; P^1$	$0; 0; 0; 0; 0; P$
Last Price	100	101	102	103	104
OI	1	1	2	3	4
Price	1	1	1	1	1
P&L	0; 0		1; 0		2; 1

The genetic algorithm presented in the previous slide creates complications (classification issues). This pushed us to study the bottom-up approach using concepts taken from evolutionary dynamics and created the the concept of Path of Interaction : table of 7 rows documenting the interaction's details (eg: table above).

1 Introduction

Foreword

Historical Context

Original Contribution

2 A Bottom-up Approach to the Financial Markets

Useful material for Quant Finance from Mathematical Biology

Neural Network Architecture and Learning Potential

Dynamic of the Financial Market

Stability of Financial Systems and Multi-Target Tracking

3 Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Cointelation, Inferred Correlation & Portfolio Optimization

Anomaly Detection & Volatility Surface de-Arbitraging

Big Data Changing the Vanilla Options Landscape

Clustering for Distribution & Regime Change Forecasting

4 Conclusion

A Bottom-Up Approach to the Financial Markets

Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Sequential Monte Carlo

Sequential Monte Carlo (SMC) methods [10, 11, 18], also known as Particle Filter, have emerged as a fashionable tool to track scenarios in the last 15 years [31, 32]. They are the sequential analogue of Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) methods and similar to importance sampling methods.

Sequential Monte Carlo

Sequential Monte Carlo (SMC) methods [10, 11, 18], also known as Particle Filter, have emerged as a fashionable tool to track scenarios in the last 15 years [31, 32]. They are the sequential analogue of Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) methods and similar to importance sampling methods.

The aim of the PF is to estimate the sequence of hidden parameters (eg: the frequencies of certain types of strategies), based on indirect observations (eg: the fluctuations of the market).

The random Brownian paths become deterministic

The random Brownian paths become deterministic

Each Brownian Path Lookalike is no longer stochastic but deterministic.

The random Brownian paths become deterministic

Each Brownian Path Lookalike is no longer stochastic but deterministic.

Each deterministic path corresponds to a sequence of interaction of several strategies for which the sequence and the P&Ls can be traced through our SMC methods by looking at the market price only.

PF assigns a probability for each ecosystem scenario

We have recorded 5 different scenarios (ecosystem history) for the sake of this presentation, all of which are clearly detected after the 11th iteration [27].

PF assigns a probability for each ecosystem scenario

We have recorded 5 different scenarios (ecosystem history) for the sake of this presentation, all of which are clearly detected after the 11th iteration [27].

1 Introduction

Foreword

Historical Context

Original Contribution

2 A Bottom-up Approach to the Financial Markets

Useful material for Quant Finance from Mathematical Biology

Neural Network Architecture and Learning Potential

Dynamic of the Financial Market

Stability of Financial Systems and Multi-Target Tracking

3 Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Cointelation, Inferred Correlation & Portfolio Optimization

Anomaly Detection & Volatility Surface de-Arbitraging

Big Data Changing the Vanilla Options Landscape

Clustering for Distribution & Regime Change Forecasting

4 Conclusion

A Bottom-Up Approach to the Financial Markets

Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Definition (Correlation Model)

On a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ the Correlation model is given by the set of two SDE's:

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t &= \alpha X_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t^1; \\ dY_t &= \beta Y_t dt + \tau Y_t dW_t^2; \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$d\langle W^1, W^2 \rangle_t = \rho dt; \quad (2)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma, \tau > 0$ are drift and diffusion coefficients of asset price, W_t^1 and W_t^2 are two correlated Brownian motions with constant correlation coefficient $\rho \in (-1, 1)$.

Definition (Correlation Model)

On a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ the Correlation model is given by the set of two SDE's:

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t &= \alpha X_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t^1; \\ dY_t &= \beta Y_t dt + \tau Y_t dW_t^2; \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$d\langle W^1, W^2 \rangle_t = \rho dt; \quad (2)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma, \tau > 0$ are drift and diffusion coefficients of asset price, W_t^1 and W_t^2 are two correlated Brownian motions with constant correlation coefficient $\rho \in (-1, 1)$.

Remark: few assumptions need to be fulfilled. Variance must remain constant (homoscedasticity) and the returns independent. If not instantaneous measured correlation is misleading with respect to long term correlation:

Definition (Correlation Model)

On a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ the Correlation model is given by the set of two SDE's:

$$\begin{aligned} dX^t &= \alpha X^t dt + \sigma X^t dW^t; \\ dY^t &= \alpha Y^t dt + \sigma Y^t dW^t; \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$d\langle W, W \rangle_t = dt; \quad (2)$$

where $\alpha > R$, α and σ are drift and diffusion coefficients of asset price, W^t and W^t are two correlated Brownian motions with constant correlation coefficient $\rho \in (-1, 1)$.

Remark: few assumptions need to be fulfilled. Variance must remain constant (homoscedasticity) and the returns independent. If not instantaneous measured correlation is misleading with respect to long term correlation:

Top Right Figure: $\rho \rightarrow 1$,

Definition (Correlation Model)

On a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ the Correlation model is given by the set of two SDE's:

$$\begin{aligned} dX^t &= \alpha^t dt + \sigma^t dW^t; \\ dY^t &= \beta^t dt + \sigma^t dW^t; \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$d\langle W^t, W^t \rangle = dt; \quad (2)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, σ are drift and diffusion coefficients of asset price, W^t and W^t are two correlated Brownian motions with constant correlation coefficient $\rho \in (-1, 1)$.

Remark: few assumptions need to be fulfilled. Variance must remain constant (homoscedasticity) and the returns independent. If not instantaneous measured correlation is misleading with respect to long term correlation:

Top Right Figure: $\rho = 1$,

Middle Right Figure $\rho = 0$,

Definition (Correlation Model)

On a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Q})$; \mathbb{P} the Correlation model is given by the set of two SDE's:

$$\begin{aligned} dX^t &= \alpha X^t dt + \sigma X^t dW^t; \\ dY^t &= \beta Y^t dt + \tau Y^t dW^t; \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$d\langle W \rangle_{t, s} = \rho dt; \quad (2)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, α, β are drift and diffusion coefficients of asset price, W^t and W^t are two correlated Brownian motions with constant correlation coefficient $\rho \in (-1, 1)$.

Remark: few assumptions need to be fulfilled. Variance must remain constant (homoscedasticity) and the returns independent. If not instantaneous measured correlation is misleading with respect to long term correlation:

Top Right Figure: $\rho = 1$,

Middle Right Figure $\rho = 0$,

Bottom Right Figure $\rho = -1$.

Data Disagrees with Assumptions

Data violates assumptions
of the classic model (2):

directly (this is not
new: the returns are
not iid and the
variance is not
constant),

Data Disagrees with Assumptions

Data violates assumptions of the classic model (2):

directly (this is not new: the returns are not iid and the variance is not constant),

or indirectly (Figure on left hand side). Implied correlation is "marked", the same way implied volatility is. The ratio of two closely related underliers exhibits mean reversion in the minds of the traders risk managing these products.

Data Reasssuming the Model

Intuitive Definition : Cointegration is a portmanteau neologism in finance, designed to signify a hybrid method between between cointegration and correlation models (Data-Driven adjustment to classic financial math models: data \reasssuming the model").

Data Reassuring the Model

Definition (Cointegration Model)

On a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$, the Cointegration model is given by the SDE's:

$$\begin{aligned}dX_t &= \mu X_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t^1; \\dY_t &= \mu X_t Y_t dt + \sigma Y_t dW_t^2; \\d\langle W^1, W^2 \rangle_t &= \rho dt;\end{aligned}$$

with $\mu > R$, $\sigma > 0$, ρ are drift and diffusion coefficients of asset price X , μ - the rate of mean reversion, W_t^1 and W_t^2 are two correlated Brownian motions with constant correlation coefficient $\rho \in (-1, 1)$. The process X_t is called the leading process, Y_t the lagging process.

Intuitive Definition : Cointegration is a portmanteau neologism in finance, designed to signify a hybrid method between cointegration and correlation models (Data-Driven adjustment to classic financial math models: data \reassuring the model").

Data Reassuring the Model

Definition (Cointegration Model)

On a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$, the Cointegration model is given by the SDE's:

$$\begin{aligned}dX_t &= \mu X_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t^1; \\dY_t &= \mu X_t dt + \sigma Y_t dW_t^2; \\d\langle W^1, W^2 \rangle_t &= \rho dt;\end{aligned}$$


with $\mu > R$, $\sigma > 0$, ρ are drift and diffusion coefficients of asset price X , μ - the rate of mean reversion, W_t^1 and W_t^2 are two correlated Brownian motions with constant correlation coefficient $\rho \in (-1, 1)$. The process X_t is called the leading process, Y_t the lagging process.

Remark: We note that setting $\rho = 0$ yields the classic correlation model. Conversely, setting $\rho = 1$ yields the cointegration model.

Intuitive Definition : Cointegration is a portmanteau neologism in finance, designed to signify a hybrid method between cointegration and correlation models (Data-Driven adjustment to classic financial math models: data \reassuring the model").

Interesting Property: the Inferred Correlation

Interesting Property: the Inferred Correlation

Remark: Cointelation model can hit the whole correlation spectrum depending on timescale. 

Interesting Property: the Inferred Correlation

Definition (Inferred Correlation)

Considering the dynamics of equation (3), the Inferred Correlation formula is given by (3).

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \dots\right) \quad (3)$$

where $\hat{\rho} = E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \dots$, $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 > 0$; 1 and constant.

Remark: Cointegration model can hit the whole correlation spectrum depending on timescale.

Application: Socially Responsible Finance

Socially Responsible & Consumer Finance is a wave of quant finance that gains momentum after each crisis but quickly runs unfortunately out of fuel:

Situation : Equity/Commodities salesman trying to convince clients who have a portfolio in the Commodities/Equities asset class to diversify by buying salesman's products.

Objective : show small correlation to suggest diversification benefits

Application: Socially Responsible Finance

Socially Responsible & Consumer Finance is a wave of quant finance that gains momentum after each crisis but quickly runs unfortunately out of fuel:

Situation : Equity/Commodities salesman trying to convince clients who have a portfolio in the Commodities/Equities asset class to diversify by buying salesman's products.

Objective : show small correlation to suggest diversification benefits

Algorithm : Find the smallest measured correlation as a function of timescale.

Application: Socially Responsible Finance

Socially Responsible & Consumer Finance is a wave of quant finance that gains momentum after each crisis but quickly runs unfortunately out of fuel:

Situation : Equity/Commodities salesman trying to convince clients who have a portfolio in the Commodities/Equities asset class to diversify by buying salesman's products.

Objective : show small correlation to suggest diversification benefits

Algorithm : Find the smallest measured correlation as a function of timescale.

Penalty : Violation of the Misleading Statement & Action, and Client Best Interest Rules.

Application: Socially Responsible Finance

Socially Responsible & Consumer Finance is a wave of quant finance that gains momentum after each crisis but quickly runs unfortunately out of fuel:

Situation : Equity/Commodities salesman trying to convince clients who have a portfolio in the Commodities/Equities asset class to diversify by buying salesman's products.

Objective : show small correlation to suggest diversification benefits

Algorithm : Find the smallest measured correlation as a function of timescale.

Penalty : Violation of the Misleading Statement & Action, and Client Best Interest Rules.

Solution : Inferred Correlation.

Portfolio Optimization

We consider the
portfolio of:

two stocks

X_t and Y_t

with price

dynamics

following the

cointelation

model (3).

Portfolio Optimization

We consider the portfolio of:

two stocks

X_t and Y_t

with price

dynamics

following the

cointelation

model (3).

we would like

to leverage as

much as

possible on

methods

already in

place ...

Portfolio Optimization

We consider the portfolio of:

two stocks

X_t and Y_t

with price

dynamics

following the

cointelation

model (3).

we would like

to leverage as

much as

possible on

methods

already in

place ...

... we can notice that we can decompose the Cointelation model in two familiar models:

Portfolio Optimization

We consider the portfolio of:

two stocks

X_t and Y_t

with price

dynamics

following the

cointelation

model (3).

we would like

to leverage as

much as

possible on

methods

already in

place ...

... we can notice that we can decompose the Cointelation model in two familiar models:

Markowitz Mean-Variance Analysis (-centered sub strategy):

Portfolio Optimization

We consider the portfolio of:

two stocks

X_t and Y_t

with price dynamics

following the cointelation model (3).

we would like to leverage as much as possible on methods already in place ...

... we can notice that we can decompose the Cointelation model in two familiar models:

Markowitz Mean-Variance Analysis (-centered sub strategy):

Ornstein Uhlenbeck \like" Stochastic Control (-centered sub strategy):

Portfolio Optimization

We consider the portfolio of:

two stocks

X_t and Y_t

with price dynamics

following the cointelation model (3).

we would like to leverage as much as possible on methods already in place ...

... we can notice that we can decompose the Cointelation model in two familiar models:

Markowitz Mean-Variance Analysis (-centered sub strategy):

Ornstein Uhlenbeck \like" Stochastic Control (-centered sub strategy):

The optimization process involves a dynamics switching strategy between both approaches

Portfolio Optimization

We consider the portfolio of:

two stocks

X_t and Y_t

with price dynamics

following the cointelation model (3).

we would like to leverage as much as possible on methods already in place ...

... we can notice that we can decompose the Cointelation model in two familiar models:

Markowitz Mean-Variance Analysis (-centered sub strategy): **Easy**

Ornstein Uhlenbeck "like" Stochastic Control (-centered sub strategy) **Di cult**

The optimization process involves a dynamics switching strategy between both approaches

$$w_t^\dagger \quad \max_{h^t} \quad 2 \quad E \hat{r}_p \bullet \quad 2 \hat{r}_p \bullet \quad 1 \quad \int_{\mathcal{U}} \check{S}_{Y_t}^{X_t, Y_t} \bullet \mathcal{L} \check{Y}$$

$$\sup_{\hat{t} \bullet > A^0; v_0} \quad E \quad \frac{1}{-} \hat{V} \quad \hat{T} \bullet \bullet \quad 1 \quad \int_{\mathcal{U}} \check{S}_{Y_t}^{X_t, Y_t} \bullet \mathcal{L} \check{Y}$$

Portfolio Optimization

We consider the portfolio of:

two stocks

X_t and Y_t

with price dynamics

following the cointelation model (3).

we would like to leverage as much as possible on methods already in place ...

... we can notice that we can decompose the Cointelation model in two familiar models:

Markowitz Mean-Variance Analysis (-centered sub strategy): **Easy**

Ornstein Uhlenbeck "like" Stochastic Control (-centered sub strategy) **Di cult**

The optimization process involves a dynamics switching strategy between both approaches

$$w_t^\dagger \quad \max_{h^*} 2 E^{\wedge} r_p \bullet \quad 2^{\wedge} r_p \bullet \quad 1_{\check{S}U \check{S}^{X_t, Y_t} \bullet LB \check{Y}}$$

$$\sup_{\hat{t} \bullet > A^{\wedge} 0; v_0 \bullet} E \quad 1^{\wedge} V^{\wedge} T \bullet \bullet \quad 1_{\check{S}U \check{S}^{X_t, Y_t} \bullet LA \check{Y}}$$

The **red part** does not have a closed for solution: the pure Classic Financial Mathematics does not have a solution.

Portfolio Optimization: ML/FM Hybrid Method

The way to handle the **red part** part is to consider the function $G^*(t; v; s)$ such that $G \in C^{1,2}$, the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation corresponding to stochastic control problem is

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} + \sup_{v} L(G^*(t; v; s)) = 0; \quad (4)$$

Portfolio Optimization: ML/FM Hybrid Method

The way to handle the **red part** part is to consider the function $G^*(t; v; s)$ such that $G \geq C^{1,2} \geq Q$, the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation corresponding to stochastic control problem is

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} + \sup_{\alpha} \{ \mathcal{L} G^*(t; v; s) - \alpha \cdot \text{red part} \} = 0; \quad (4)$$

Through a series of educated guess (1st replacing \sup with $+$ then using the separation ansatz), we turn an equation of 4 to one of 2 unknowns

Portfolio Optimization: ML/FM Hybrid Method

The way to handle the **red part** part is to consider the function $G^*(t; v; s)$ such that $G \in C^{1;2}$, the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation corresponding to stochastic control problem is

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} + \sup_{\alpha} L(G^*(t; v; s); \alpha) = 0; \quad (4)$$

Through a series of educated guess (1st replacing \sup with $+$ then using the separation ansatz), we turn an equation of 4 to one of 2 unknowns which we can approximate using Deep Learning [1, 33]: it works for the Merton non-linear PDE .

Portfolio Optimization: ML/FM Hybrid Method

The way to handle the **red part** part is to consider the function $G^*(t; v; s)$ such that $G \in C^{1;2}$, the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation corresponding to stochastic control problem is

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} + \sup_{\alpha} L(G^*(t; v; s); \alpha) = 0; \quad (4)$$

Through a series of educated guess (1st replacing \sup with $+$ then using the separation ansatz), we turn an equation of 4 to one of 2 unknowns which we can approximate using Deep Learning [1, 33]: it works for the Merton non-linear PDE .

Portfolio Optimization: ML/FM Hybrid Method

The way to handle the **red part** part is to consider the function $G^*(t; v; s)$ such that $G \in C^{1,2}$, the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation corresponding to stochastic control problem is

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} + \sup_{\alpha} L(G^*(t; v; s); \alpha) = 0; \quad (4)$$

Through a series of educated guess (1st replacing \sup with $+$ then using the separation ansatz), we turn an equation of 4 to one of 2 unknowns which we can approximate using Deep Learning [1, 33]: it works for the Merton non-linear PDE .

Portfolio Optimization: Pure ML Approximation

We can use clustering in order to study the P&L of n (eg: 4) strategies through time.

Portfolio Optimization: Pure ML Approximation

We can use clustering in order to study the P&L of n (eg: 4) strategies through time.

Portfolio Optimization: Pure ML Approximation

We can use clustering in order to study the P&L of n (eg: 4) strategies through time.

Strategy S_i in which we are long both X and Y at time t within bands $a_i; b_i$, $i > N$, and with P&L $V_{a_i; b_i; t}$.

Portfolio Optimization: Pure ML Approximation

We can use clustering in order to study the P&L of n (eg: 4) strategies through time.

Strategy S_i in which we are long both X and Y at time t within bands $a_i; b_i$, $i > N$, and with P&L $V_{a_i; b_i; t}$.

Strategy S_i in which we are long X and short Y at time t within $a_i; b_i$, $i > N$, and with P&L $V_{a_i; b_i; t}$.

Portfolio Optimization: Pure ML Approximation

We can use clustering in order to study the P&L of n (eg: 4) strategies through time.

Strategy S_i in which we are long both X and Y at time t within bands $a_i; b_i$, $i > N$, and with P&L $V_{a_i; b_i; t}$.

Strategy S_i in which we are long X and short Y at time t within $a_i; b_i$, $i > N$, and with P&L $V_{a_i; b_i; t}$.

Strategy S_i in which we are short X and long Y at time t within $a_i; b_i$, $i > N$, and with P&L $V_{a_i; b_i; t}$.

Portfolio Optimization: Pure ML Approximation

We can use clustering in order to study the P&L of n (eg: 4) strategies through time.

Strategy S_i in which we are long both X and Y at time t within bands $a_i; b_i$, $i > N$, and with P&L $V_{a_i; b_i; t}$.

Strategy S_i in which we are long X and short Y at time t within $a_i; b_i$, $i > N$, and with P&L $V_{a_i; b_i; t}$.

Strategy S_i in which we are short X and long Y at time t within $a_i; b_i$, $i > N$, and with P&L $V_{a_i; b_i; t}$.

Strategy S_i in which we are short both X and Y at time t within bands $a_i; b_i$, $i > N$, and with P&L $V_{a_i; b_i; t}$.

Portfolio Optimization: Pure ML Approximation

We can use clustering in order to study the P&L of n (eg: 4) strategies through time.

Strategy S_i in which we are long both X and Y at time t within bands $a_i; b_i$, $i > N$, and with P&L $V_{a_i; b_i; t}$.

Strategy S_i in which we are long X and short Y at time t within $a_i; b_i$, $i > N$, and with P&L $V_{a_i; b_i; t}$.

Strategy S_i in which we are short X and long Y at time t within $a_i; b_i$, $i > N$, and with P&L $V_{a_i; b_i; t}$.

Strategy S_i in which we are short both X and Y at time t within bands $a_i; b_i$, $i > N$, and with P&L $V_{a_i; b_i; t}$.

Remark: We can have as many bands (strategies) as we have weight proportions.

1 Introduction

Foreword

Historical Context

Original Contribution

2 A Bottom-up Approach to the Financial Markets

Useful material for Quant Finance from Mathematical Biology

Neural Network Architecture and Learning Potential

Dynamic of the Financial Market

Stability of Financial Systems and Multi-Target Tracking

3 Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Cointelation, Inferred Correlation & Portfolio Optimization

Anomaly Detection & Volatility Surface de-Arbitraging

Big Data Changing the Vanilla Options Landscape

Clustering for Distribution & Regime Change Forecasting

4 Conclusion

A Bottom-Up Approach to the Financial Markets

Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Anomaly Detection & Volatility Surface de-Arbitraging

Normalizing the data coming from the markets
(left figure)

Anomaly Detection & Volatility Surface de-Arbitraging

Normalizing the data coming from the markets
(left figure) in rolling contract form

Anomaly Detection & Volatility Surface de-Arbitraging

Normalizing the data coming from the markets (left figure) in rolling contract form into a coherent fixed pillars implied volatility surface (bottom right figure) presents challenges.

Anomaly Detection & Volatility Surface de-Arbitraging

The classic arbitrage conditions (butterfly & calendar spread) have been replaced with more elegant models (e.g. $K; T; \sigma^2; K; T \bullet SB4$) that were nevertheless not sufficient and the arrival of Big Data in the wings exposed these limitations in the Financial Mathematics models.

Anomaly Detection & Volatility Surface de-Arbitraging

The classic arbitrage conditions (butterfly & calendar spread) have been replaced with more elegant models (e.g. $\sigma^2(K; T) \approx \sigma^2(K; T) \cdot S^4$) that were nevertheless not sufficient and the arrival of Big Data in the wings exposed these limitations in the Financial Mathematics models.

Figure: Vogt's total variance example verifying $\sigma^2(K; T) \approx \sigma^2(K; T) \cdot S^4$ (left figure: with the x axis being the log-moneyness and the y axis being the implied variance) and the corresponding $\sigma^2(K; T) \approx \sigma^2(K; T) \cdot S^4$ approximating the (supposed) always positive pdf (right figure: with the x axis being the log-moneyness and the y axis being the non normalized pdf).

Anomaly Detection & Volatility Surface de-Arbitraging

The work of this chapter revolves around anomaly detection in the context of the implied volatility surface trying to use the classic methods: **butterfly arbitrage (or call spread) in equation (5b)** and **calendar spread arbitrage in equation (5c)** and the more advanced methods such as in equation (5e) (a necessary but not sufficient condition).

Anomaly Detection & Volatility Surface de-Arbitraging

The work of this chapter revolves around anomaly detection in the context of the implied volatility surface trying to use the classic methods: **butterfly arbitrage (or call spread) in equation (5b)** and **calendar spread arbitrage in equation (5c)** and the more advanced methods such as in equation (5e) (a necessary but not sufficient condition).

$$\text{solve: } \hat{\sigma}_t; d \bullet \arg \min_{\hat{\sigma}_t; d} Q \bullet C \check{S}_{i; \hat{\sigma}_t; d} \bullet C \check{S}_{-t; \hat{\sigma}_t; d} \bullet 2 \quad (5a)$$

subject to: i and $i \leq K$

$$C \check{S}_K; \hat{\sigma}_0^K; \bullet \bullet C \check{S}_K; \hat{\sigma}_0^K; \bullet \bullet C_0 \quad (5b)$$

$$C \check{S}_K; \hat{\sigma}_0^K; \bullet \bullet C \check{S}_{K e^r}; \hat{\sigma}_0^{K e^r}; \bullet \bullet C_0 \quad (5c)$$

but not: (5d)

$$i \leq K; i \leq T; \sigma @_K \bullet 2 \bullet K; T \bullet SB 4 \quad (5e)$$

Anomaly Detection & Volatility Surface de-Arbitraging

The work of this chapter revolves around anomaly detection in the context of the implied volatility surface trying to use the classic methods: **butterfly arbitrage (or call spread) in equation (5b)** and **calendar spread arbitrage in equation (5c)** and the more advanced methods such as in equation (5e) (a necessary but not sufficient condition). We also discuss some of the idiosyncratic asset class related differences that require modifications in the optimization process. Finally we discuss few trading idea by simplifying the IVP model.

$$\text{solve: } \hat{d} = \arg \min_{\hat{d}} Q(\hat{d}) \quad \text{subject to } \hat{d} \geq 0 \quad (5a)$$

subject to: $\hat{d} \geq 0$ and $\hat{d} \leq K$

$$C_{\hat{d}}(K) - C_{\hat{d}}(0) \geq C_{\hat{d}}(K) - C_{\hat{d}}(0) \quad (5b)$$

$$C_{\hat{d}}(T) - C_{\hat{d}}(0) \geq C_{\hat{d}}(T) - C_{\hat{d}}(0) \quad (5c)$$

but not: $\hat{d} \geq 0$ and $\hat{d} \leq K$ (5d)

$$\hat{d} \geq 0 \text{ and } \hat{d} \leq K \quad (5e)$$

1 Introduction

Foreword

Historical Context

Original Contribution

2 A Bottom-up Approach to the Financial Markets

Useful material for Quant Finance from Mathematical Biology

Neural Network Architecture and Learning Potential

Dynamic of the Financial Market

Stability of Financial Systems and Multi-Target Tracking

3 Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Cointelation, Inferred Correlation & Portfolio Optimization

Anomaly Detection & Volatility Surface de-Arbitraging

Big Data Changing the Vanilla Options Landscape

Clustering for Distribution & Regime Change Forecasting

4 Conclusion

A Bottom-Up Approach to the Financial Markets

Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Big Data Changing the Vanilla Options Landscape

exposed the limitations of both the wings (e.g. SVI) and of the liquidity in the options market,

We saw in the Options market :

a step back in complexity (from exotics to vanilla) but with more coherent pricing

a step forward in liquidity modelling

Big Data Changing the Vanilla Options Landscape

exposed the limitations of both the wings (e.g. SVI) and of the liquidity in the options market,
the need for proxying when the data is scarce.

We saw in the Options market :

a step back in complexity (from exotics to vanilla) but with more coherent pricing

a step forward in liquidity modelling

the need (in CCPs), to map risk factors to economical

Big Data Changing the Vanilla Options Landscape

The rise of big data:

exposed the limitations of both the wings (e.g. SVI) and of the liquidity in the options market,

the need for proxying when the data is scarce.

the Heston (Stochastic Volatility) model and the local volatility model and the need for harmonizing these two concepts.

We saw in the Options market :

a step back in complexity (from exotics to vanilla) but with more coherent pricing

a step forward in liquidity modelling

the need (in CCPs), to map risk factors to economical

$$dS_t = \sigma_t S_t dW_t^1; S_0 > R^{\ddagger} \quad (6a)$$

$$dv_t = \kappa(\theta - v_t) dt + \eta dW_t^2; v_0 > R^{\ddagger} \quad (6b)$$

Big Data Changing the Vanilla Options Landscape

Big Data exposed the limitations of the SVI in the wings and the subprime crisis of 2007 exposed the need to incorporate liquidity directly in the options model.

Big Data Changing the Vanilla Options Landscape

We define the Implied Volatility surface Parametrization (IVP) split with its mid in equation (7) with the downside transform in equation (7b) enhancing the SVI,

$$\sigma_{IVP; \omega}^2 = k \cdot a + b + \frac{1}{2} \frac{m \tilde{Z}}{\sigma_{\omega} + m \tilde{Z}^2} \quad (7a)$$

$$z_{\omega} = \frac{k}{1 + 4 \frac{m \tilde{Z}}{\sigma_{\omega}}} \quad (7b)$$

Big Data exposed the limitations of the SVI in the wings and the subprime crisis of 2007 exposed the need to incorporate liquidity directly in the options model. The IVP addresses these two points

Big Data Changing the Vanilla Options Landscape

We define the Implied Volatility surface Parametrization (IVP) split with its **mid** in equation (7) with the downside transform in equation (7b) enhancing the SVI,

$$\sigma_{IVP;0}^2 = \hat{k} \cdot a + b + \frac{1}{2} \frac{m \tilde{z}^2}{\sigma_{0;0} + m \tilde{z}^2} \quad (7a)$$

$$z_0 = \frac{k}{1 + 4\sigma_{0;0} m S} \quad (7b)$$

and its **liquidity** parameters in equation (8). Parameters \hat{k} represents the Wings Curvature, $\sigma_{0;0}$ represents the ATM Spread. The latter two parameters can be defined in terms of functions to accommodate the position size in which case the market dept is controlled with the \hat{p} parameters.

$$\sigma_{IVP;0}^2 = \hat{k} \cdot a + b + \frac{1}{2} \frac{m \tilde{z}^2}{\sigma_{0;0} + m \tilde{z}^2} + \hat{p} \quad (8a)$$

$$z_0 = z_0 + 1 + \hat{p} \quad (8b)$$

$$\sigma_{IVP;0}^2 = \hat{k} \cdot a + b + \frac{1}{2} \frac{m \tilde{z}^2}{\sigma_{0;0} + m \tilde{z}^2} + \hat{p} \quad (8c)$$

$$z_0 = z_0 + 1 + \hat{p} \quad (8d)$$

$$\hat{p} = \sigma_{0;0} \cdot \hat{a} + \sigma_{0;0} \cdot \hat{1} + e + p \quad (8e)$$

$$\hat{p} = \sigma_{0;0} \cdot \hat{1} + \sigma_{0;0} \cdot \hat{1} + e + p \quad (8f)$$

Big Data exposed the limitations of the SVI in the wings and the subprime crisis of 2007 exposed the need to incorporate liquidity directly in the options model. The IVP addresses these two points

Big Data Changing the Vanilla Options Landscape

We define the Implied Volatility surface Parametrization (IVP) split with its **mid** in equation (7) with the downside transform in equation (7b) enhancing the SVI,

$$\sigma_{IVP;0}^2 = \hat{k} \cdot a + b + \frac{1}{2} \frac{m \tilde{z}^2}{\sigma_{0;0} + m \tilde{z}^2} \quad (7a)$$

$$z_0 = \frac{k}{1 + 4\sigma_{0;0} m S} \quad (7b)$$

and its **liquidity** parameters in equation (8). Parameters \hat{k} represents the Wings Curvature, $\sigma_{0;0}$ represents the ATM Spread. The latter two parameters can be defined in terms of functions to accommodate the position size in which case the market dept is controlled with the \hat{p}_0 parameters.

$$\sigma_{IVP;0}^2 = \hat{k} \cdot a + b + \frac{1}{2} \frac{m \tilde{z}^2}{\sigma_{0;0} + m \tilde{z}^2} + \hat{p}_0 \quad (8a)$$

$$z_0 = z_0 + 1 + \hat{p}_0 \quad (8b)$$

$$\sigma_{IVP;0}^2 = \hat{k} \cdot a + b + \frac{1}{2} \frac{m \tilde{z}^2}{\sigma_{0;0} + m \tilde{z}^2} + \hat{p}_0 \quad (8c)$$

$$z_0 = z_0 + 1 + \hat{p}_0 \quad (8d)$$

$$\hat{p}_0 = \sigma_{0;0} + \hat{a} + \sigma_{0;0} + \hat{p}_0 + 1 + e + p_0 \quad (8e)$$

$$\hat{p}_0 = \sigma_{0;0} + \hat{1} + \sigma_{0;0} + \hat{p}_0 + 1 + e + p_0 \quad (8f)$$

Big Data exposed the limitations of the SVI in the wings and the subprime crisis of 2007 exposed the need to incorporate liquidity directly in the options model. The IVP addresses these two points as well as allow for additional benefits:

- Proxying
- Backtesting weaponry on complex strategies.

Big Data, Proxying & Handling Dimensionality for Options

Big Data, Proxying & Handling Dimensionality for Options

Big Data, Proxying & Handling Dimensionality for Options

Big Data, Proxying & Handling Dimensionality for Options

1 Introduction

Foreword

Historical Context

Original Contribution

2 A Bottom-up Approach to the Financial Markets

Useful material for Quant Finance from Mathematical Biology

Neural Network Architecture and Learning Potential

Dynamic of the Financial Market

Stability of Financial Systems and Multi-Target Tracking

3 Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Cointelation, Inferred Correlation & Portfolio Optimization

Anomaly Detection & Volatility Surface de-Arbitraging

Big Data Changing the Vanilla Options Landscape

Clustering for Distribution & Regime Change Forecasting

4 Conclusion

A Bottom-Up Approach to the Financial Markets

Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Clustering for Distribution & Regime Change Forecasting

We show how clustering can help enhance MF and therefore the two fields can be apposed instead of opposed in the context of modelling risk factors (RF) which behave elements of mean reversion (Spread, Options RF). More specifically we look at how we can free oneself with the assumptions of SDEs to construct a general clustering methodology. This can allow us to construct concepts like the Anticipative VaR (a leading regime change) as opposed to Responsive VaR (a lagging regime change).

Clustering for Distribution & Regime Change Forecasting

We show how clustering can help enhance MF and therefore the two fields can be apposed instead of opposed in the context of modelling risk factors (RF) which behave elements of mean reversion (Spread, Options RF). More speci cally we look at how we can free oneself with the assumptions of SDEs to construct a general clustering methodology. This can allow us to construct concepts like the Anticipative VaR (a leading regime change) as opposed to Responsive VaR (a lagging regime change).

In the left gure, we apply clustering in order to classify dynamic zones in which the returns act di erently . For example when the underlier is signi cantly above its mean, the forecasted distribution is normally distributed with however a negative mean (vice versa when the underlier is below its historical mean). The distribution is symmetric when at the long term mean.

In order to Reconcile discordant instructions of our regulators to create a risk measure which is responsive but stable at the same time we propose the Responsible VaR, a risk measure responsive on the upside but stable on the downside. We give a couple of examples (figures on the left) of complex portfolio (straddle) backtests in which we modify the to control the stability on the downside.

In order to Reconcile discordant instructions of our regulators to create a risk measure which is responsive but stable at the same time we propose the Responsible VaR, a risk measure responsive on the upside but stable on the downside. We give a couple of examples (figures on the left) of complex portfolio (straddle) backtests in which we modify the $\tilde{\tau}_t$ to control the stability on the downside.

$$S_a^t = \int_{\tilde{\tau}_t}^{\tilde{\tau}_t + 1} p_t^a(x) dx \quad (9a)$$

$$\tilde{\tau}_0 = 0 \quad (9b)$$

$$\tilde{\tau}_t = \max\{\tilde{S}_t; \tilde{\tau}_{t-1} + 1\} \cdot \tilde{\tau}_t \quad (9c)$$

$$1 = \int_{\tilde{\tau}_t}^{\tilde{\tau}_t + 1} p_t^a(x) dx \quad (9d)$$

$$\tilde{\tau}_0 = 0 \quad (9e)$$

$$\tilde{\tau}_t = \min\{\tilde{S}_t; \tilde{\tau}_{t-1} + 1\} \cdot \tilde{\tau}_t \quad (9f)$$

In order to Reconcile discordant instructions of our regulators to create a risk measure which is responsive but stable at the same time we propose the Responsible VaR, a risk measure responsive on the upside but stable on the downside. We give a couple of examples (figures on the left) of complex portfolio (straddle) backtests in which we modify the $\tilde{\tau}_t$ to control the stability on the downside.

$$S_a^t = \int_{\tilde{\tau}_t}^{\tilde{\tau}_t + 1} p_t^a(x) dx \quad (9a)$$

$$\tilde{\tau}_0 = 0 \quad (9b)$$

$$\tilde{\tau}_t = \max\{\tilde{S}_t; \tilde{\tau}_{t-1} + 1\} \cdot \tilde{\tau}_t \quad (9c)$$

$$1 = \int_{\tilde{\tau}_t}^{\tilde{\tau}_t + 1} p_t^a(x) dx \quad (9d)$$

$$\tilde{\tau}_0 = 0 \quad (9e)$$

$$\tilde{\tau}_t = \min\{\tilde{S}_t; \tilde{\tau}_{t-1} + 1\} \cdot \tilde{\tau}_t \quad (9f)$$

In order to Reconcile discordant instructions of our regulators to create a risk measure which is responsive but stable at the same time we propose the Responsible VaR, a risk measure responsive on the upside but stable on the downside. We give a couple of examples (figures on the left) of complex portfolio (straddle) backtests in which we modify the $\tilde{\tau}_t$ to control the stability on the downside.

$$S_a^t = \int_{\tilde{\tau}_t}^{\tilde{\tau}_t + 1} p_t^a(x) dx \quad (9a)$$

$$\tilde{\tau}_0 = 0 \quad (9b)$$

$$\tilde{\tau}_t = \max\{\tilde{S}_t; \tilde{\tau}_{t-1} + 1\} \cdot \tilde{\tau}_t \quad (9c)$$

$$1 = \int_{\tilde{\tau}_t}^{\tilde{\tau}_t + 1} p_t^a(x) dx \quad (9d)$$

$$\tilde{\tau}_0 = 0 \quad (9e)$$

$$\tilde{\tau}_t = \min\{\tilde{S}_t; \tilde{\tau}_{t-1} + 1\} \cdot \tilde{\tau}_t \quad (9f)$$

1 Introduction

Foreword

Historical Context

Original Contribution

2 A Bottom-up Approach to the Financial Markets

Useful material for Quant Finance from Mathematical Biology

Neural Network Architecture and Learning Potential

Dynamic of the Financial Market

Stability of Financial Systems and Multi-Target Tracking

3 Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Cointelation, Inferred Correlation & Portfolio Optimization

Anomaly Detection & Volatility Surface de-Arbitraging

Big Data Changing the Vanilla Options Landscape

Clustering for Distribution & Regime Change Forecasting

4 Conclusion

A Bottom-Up Approach to the Financial Markets

Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Summary & Results: Part I (Opposition)

We pointed to a puzzling observation Flash Crash. More specifically as we have seen that during few the crash, fascinatingly patterned oscillations occurred in the commodities market.

Summary & Results: Part I (Opposition)

We pointed to a puzzling observation: Flash Crash. More specifically, as we have seen that during the crash, fascinatingly patterned oscillations occurred in the commodities market. These oscillations cannot be explained by the Top-Down assumption in Quantitative Finance (e.g. the Brownian motion). We have proposed to study these oscillations with the Bottom-Up approach instead.

Summary & Results: Part I (Opposition)

We pointed to a puzzling observation: Flash Crash. More specifically, as we have seen that during the crash, fascinatingly, large oscillations occurred in the commodities market. These oscillations cannot be explained by the Top-Down assumption in Quantitative Finance (e.g. the Brownian motion). We have proposed to study these oscillations with the Bottom-Up approach instead.

We expressed classic Financial Strategies in HFFF format and shown the incentive for going from a simple perceptron, to shallow and finally deep learning.

Summary & Results: Part I (Opposition)

We pointed to a puzzling observation: Flash Crash. More specifically as we have seen that during few the crash, fascinatingly patterned oscillations occurred in the commodities market. These oscillations cannot be explained by the Top-Down assumption in Quantitative Finance (e.g. the Brownian motion). We have proposed to study these oscillations with the Bottom-Up approach instead.

We expressed classic Financial Strategies in HFFF format and shown the incentive for going from a simple perceptron, to shallow and finally deep learning.

We also established connections (though not complete) to fields that are traditionally associated to mathematical biology, namely predator-prey models and evolutionary dynamics.

Summary & Results: Part I (Opposition)

We pointed to a puzzling observation: Flash Crash. More specifically as we have seen that during few the crash, fascinatingly, irregular oscillations occurred in the commodities market. These oscillations cannot be explained by the Top-Down assumption in Quantitative Finance (e.g. the Brownian motion). We have proposed to study these oscillations with the Bottom-Up approach instead.

We expressed classic Financial Strategies in HFFF format and shown the incentive for going from a simple perceptron, to shallow and finally deep learning.

We also established connections (though not complete) to fields that are traditionally associated to mathematical biology, namely predator-prey models and evolutionary dynamics.

This was done in order to express the bottom-up approach at the infinitesimal level. More specifically we developed the concept of Path of Interaction in an HFTE Game.

Summary & Results: Part I (Opposition)

We pointed to a puzzling observation: Flash Crash. More specifically as we have seen that during few the crash, fascinatingly, irregular oscillations occurred in the commodities market. These oscillations cannot be explained by the Top-Down assumption in Quantitative Finance (e.g. the Brownian motion). We have proposed to study these oscillations with the Bottom-Up approach instead.

We expressed classic Financial Strategies in HFFF format and shown the incentive for going from a simple perceptron, to shallow and finally deep learning.

We also established connections (though not complete) to fields that are traditionally associated to mathematical biology, namely predator-prey models and evolutionary dynamics.

This was done in order to express the bottom-up approach at the infinitesimal level. More specifically we developed the concept of Path of Interaction in an HFTE Game.

Finally we looked at tracking methods using MTT.

Future Research (Part I: Opposition)

Classification Simplification : the direct simulation approach of an HFTE [23] creates situations in which two very different architectures yield the exact same function.

Future Research (Part I: Opposition)

Classification Simplification : the direct simulation approach of an HFTE [23] creates situations in which two very different architectures yield the exact same function.

Complex Food Webs: We need to bring the complexity of our state space to the level of a complex food web. Additional strategies must be incorporated and more HFTE games must be included in our database of scenarios and we need to incorporate Birth & Death Processes

Future Research (Part I: Opposition)

Classification Simplification : the direct simulation approach of an HFTE [23] creates situations in which two very different architectures yield the exact same function.

Complex Food Webs: We need to bring the complexity of our state space to the level of a complex food web. Additional strategies must be incorporated and more HFTE games must be included in our database of scenarios and we need to incorporate Birth & Death Processes

Order-Book Dynamics : Many of the markets are driven by different rules for the OB.

Future Research (Part I: Opposition)

Classification Simplification : the direct simulation approach of an HFTE [23] creates situations in which two very different architectures yield the exact same function.

Complex Food Webs: We need to bring the complexity of our state space to the level of a complex food web. Additional strategies must be incorporated and more HFTE games must be included in our database of scenarios and we need to incorporate Birth & Death Processes

Order-Book Dynamics : Many of the markets are driven by different rules for the OB.

Increased HFFF complexity does not equate to Invasion : A clear picture did not necessarily emerge from the first simulations.

Future Research (Part I: Opposition)

Classification Simplification : the direct simulation approach of an HFTE [23] creates situations in which two very different architectures yield the exact same function.

Complex Food Webs: We need to bring the complexity of our state space to the level of a complex food web. Additional strategies must be incorporated and more HFTE games must be included in our database of scenarios and we need to incorporate Birth & Death Processes

Order-Book Dynamics : Many of the markets are driven by different rules for the OB.

Increased HFFF complexity does not equate to Invasion : A clear picture did not necessarily emerge from the first simulations.

Diversity as it relates to Stability : In biology diversity in an ecosystem leads to its instability [35, 8] but what about Finance?.

Future Research (Part I: Opposition)

Classification Simplification : the direct simulation approach of an HFTE [23] creates situations in which two very different architectures yield the exact same function.

Complex Food Webs: We need to bring the complexity of our state space to the level of a complex food web. Additional strategies must be incorporated and more HFTE games must be included in our database of scenarios and we need to incorporate Birth & Death Processes

Order-Book Dynamics : Many of the markets are driven by different rules for the OB.

Increased HFFF complexity does not equate to Invasion : A clear picture did not necessarily emerge from the first simulations.

Diversity as it relates to Stability : In biology diversity in an ecosystem leads to its instability [35, 8] but what about Finance?.

Is TF the most "moral" strategy ? TF is similar to the TFT (it replicates but adapts).

1 Introduction

Foreword

Historical Context

Original Contribution

2 A Bottom-up Approach to the Financial Markets

Useful material for Quant Finance from Mathematical Biology

Neural Network Architecture and Learning Potential

Dynamic of the Financial Market

Stability of Financial Systems and Multi-Target Tracking

3 Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Cointelation, Inferred Correlation & Portfolio Optimization

Anomaly Detection & Volatility Surface de-Arbitraging

Big Data Changing the Vanilla Options Landscape

Clustering for Distribution & Regime Change Forecasting

4 Conclusion

A Bottom-Up Approach to the Financial Markets

Model Assuming Data vs Data Reassuming the Models

Summary & Results: Part II (Apposition)

Descriptive approach [28] of the market with the Cointelation
di usion model helps us understand misleading risk measures and
introduced inferred correlation [21] as a conservative alternative .

Summary & Results: Part II (Apposition)

Descriptive approach [28] of the market with the Cointegration diffusion model helps us understand misleading risk measures and introduced inferred correlation [21] as a conservative alternative. We also showed how clustering can help us in the context of portfolio optimization and risk management and more specifically the concept of Anticipative Responsible VaR [25]

Summary & Results: Part II (Apposition)

Descriptive approach [28] of the market with the Cointegration diffusion model helps us understand misleading risk measures and introduced inferred correlation [21] as a conservative alternative.

We also showed how clustering can help us in the context of portfolio optimization and risk management and more specifically the concept of Anticipative Responsible VaR [25]

We have also shown how hybrid methodology between classic FM and ML can outperform their individual sums. More specifically, we try to solve our nonlinear partial differential equation with deep learning [33] to solve an SDE problem.

Pointed to errors in the FM literature when it comes to Implied Volatility arbitrage modelling and introduced de-arbiting method,

Summary & Results: Part II (Apposition)

Descriptive approach [28] of the market with the Cointegration diffusion model helps us understand misleading risk measures and introduced inferred correlation [21] as a conservative alternative.

We also showed how clustering can help us in the context of portfolio optimization and risk management and more specifically the concept of Anticipative Responsible VaR [25]

We have also shown how hybrid methodology between classic FM and ML can outperform their individual sums. More specifically, we try to solve our nonlinear partial differential equation with deep learning [33] to solve an SDE problem.

Pointed to errors in the FM literature when it comes to Implied Volatility arbitrage modelling and introduced de-arbiting method, Enhanced the SVI [24, 22] with the MVP model [22, 26] designed to adjust exposed data driven limitation of the latter (wings and liquidity).

Future Research (Part II: Apposition)

There are few extensions or improvements that can be performed on the optimization process for cointelated pairs research. We can first ask ourselves the question of the Cointelated case.

Future Research (Part II: Apposition)

There are few extensions or improvements that can be performed on the optimization process for cointelated pairs research. We can first ask ourselves the question of the Cointelated case.

Testing of the IVP proxying methodology with quality data (eg: does the $S\&P$ parameter compare well with the S_S ?).

Future Research (Part II: Apposition)

There are few extensions or improvements that can be performed on the optimization process for cointelated pairs research. We can first ask ourselves the question of the cointelated case.

Testing of the IVP proxying methodology with quality data (eg: does the $S\&P$ parameter compare well with the $S\&S$?).

Application of the above two (Cryptocurrency Option's market proxy; Bitcoin vs Altcoins) to the world of Cryptocurrency.

Future Research (Part II: Apposition)

There are few extensions or improvements that can be performed on the optimization process for cointelated pairs research. We can first ask ourselves the question of the Cointelated case.

Testing of the IVP proxying methodology with quality data (eg: does the $S_{\&P}$ parameter compare well with the S_S ?).

Application of the above two (Cryptocurrency Option's market proxy; Bitcoin vs Altcoins) to the world of Cryptocurrency.

Current De-Arbitraging methodology is not robust in between pillars (eg: Interpolation).

Future Research (Part II: Apposition)

There are few extensions or improvements that can be performed on the optimization process for cointelated pairs research. We can first ask ourselves the question of the Cointelated case.

Testing of the IVP proxying methodology with quality data (eg: does the $S_{\&P}$ parameter compare well with the S_S ?).

Application of the above two (Cryptocurrency Option's market proxy; Bitcoin vs Altcoins) to the world of Cryptocurrency.

Current De-Arbitraging methodology is not robust in between pillars (eg: Interpolation).

Particle Filter for Implied Volatility MTT : very complex
co-movements of 3 or more parameters are not taken into account.
Though, these do not matter for vanilla options, they may matter for more complex exotics.

Future Research (Part II: Apposition)

There are few extensions or improvements that can be performed on the optimization process for cointelated pairs research. We can first ask ourselves the question of the Cointelated case.

Testing of the IVP proxying methodology with quality data (eg: does the $S_{\&P}$ parameter compare well with the S_S ?).

Application of the above two (Cryptocurrency Option's market proxy; Bitcoin vs Altcoins) to the world of Cryptocurrency.

Current De-Arbitraging methodology is not robust in between pillars (eg: Interpolation).

Particle Filter for Implied Volatility MTT : very complex
co-movements of 3 or more parameters are not taken into account.
Though, these do not matter for vanilla options, they may matter for more complex exotics.

Additional Liquidity issues for Implied Volatility (the ATM Bid Ask is asset class sensitive) which makes the model less universal.

Future Research (Part II: Apposition)

Harmonizing Stochastic & Local Volatility : We have seen that both the Heston and SVI models are popular in the industry and converge asymptotically to each other [13]: see Equation (10) their limitations linked ?

$$dS_t = \sigma \sqrt{v_t} S_t dW_t^1; \quad S_0 > R^+ \quad (10a)$$

$$dv_t = \kappa (v_0 - v_t) dt + \sigma \sqrt{v_t} dW_t^2; \quad v_0 > R^+ \quad (10b)$$

$$dW_t^1, W_t^2 \text{ independent Brownian motions} \quad (10c)$$

$$\kappa > 0, \sigma > 0 \quad (10d)$$

Future Research (Part II: Apposition)

Harmonizing Stochastic & Local Volatility : We have seen that both the Heston and SVI models are popular in the industry and converge asymptotically to each other [13]: see Equation (10) and their limitations linked ?

$$dS_t = \frac{1}{S_t} \sqrt{v_t} S_t dW_t^1; \quad S_0 > R^{\dagger} \quad (10a)$$

$$dv_t = \kappa (v_0 - v_t) dt - \frac{1}{2} v_t dW_t^2; \quad v_0 > R^{\dagger} \quad (10b)$$

$$d \ln W^1; W^2 f_t \quad dt; \quad (10c)$$

$$v^{\kappa}; t \cdot a \quad b \quad \kappa \quad m \cdot \quad \frac{1}{\kappa \quad m \cdot 2} \quad 2 \quad (10d)$$

IVP and Assumed Correlation of Equation (11) the answer?

$$dS_t = \frac{1}{S_t} \sqrt{v_t} S_t dW_t^1; \quad S_0 > R^{\dagger} \quad (11a)$$

$$dv_t = \kappa (v_0 - v_t) dt - \frac{1}{2} v_t dW_t^2; \quad v_0 > R^{\dagger} \quad (11b)$$

$$d \ln W^1; W^2 f_t \quad \hat{t}; S_t \cdot dt; \quad (11c)$$

$$\hat{t}; S_t \cdot \quad \hat{t} \cdot \quad \hat{t} \cdot \quad \frac{\hat{t} \cdot 1 \exp^{\hat{t} \cdot S_t} \quad K S \cdot}{\hat{z} \quad m \cdot 2} \quad (11d)$$

$$v^{\kappa}; t \cdot a \quad b \quad \hat{z} \quad m \cdot \quad \frac{1}{\hat{z} \quad m \cdot 2} \quad 2 \quad (11e)$$

{ Russell L. Acko

¹a quote that is generally attributed to George Box

²to mean, less useful for practitioners.

\The more efficient you are at doing the wrong thing, the wronger you become.

{ Russell L. Acko

¹a quote that is generally attributed to George Box

²to mean, less useful for practitioners.

"The more efficient you are at doing the wrong thing, the wronger you become. If you do the right thing wrong and correct it, you get better."

{ Russell L. Acko

¹a quote that is generally attributed to George Box

²to mean, less useful for practitioners.

"The more efficient you are at doing the wrong thing, the wronger you become. If you do the right thing wrong and correct it, you get better."

{ Russell L. Acko

To that extent we know that all models are wrong, but some are useful¹ and in that spirit we have arguably done the right thing wrong² in the 1st part of the thesis but the wrong thing right² in the 2nd part.

¹a quote that is generally attributed to George Box

²to mean, less useful for practitioners.

Axelrod, R., Correia, A., Naiff, D., and Jardim, G.

Solving nonlinear and high-dimensional partial differential equations via deep learning.

Al-Jarrah, O., Yoo, P., Muhaidat, S., Karagiannidis, G., and Taha, K.

Efficient machine learning for big data: A review.

87(93).

Axelrod, R.

The evolution of cooperation.

Basic Books (1984).

Axelrod, R.

The complexity of cooperation: Agent-based models of competition and collaboration.

Princeton University Press (1997).

Bouchaud, J.-P.

Economics needs a scientific revolution.

Nature 455 (2008), 1181.

Buchanan, M.

Meltdown modelling: Could agent-based computer models prevent another financial crisis?

Nature 460 (2009), 680(682).

Challet, D., Marsili, M., and Zhang, Y.-C.

Minority Games: Interacting Agents In Financial Markets.

Oxford Finance Series, 2014.

Chauvet, E., Pullet, J. E., Previte, J. P., and Walls, Z.

A Lotka-Volterra three-species food chain.

Mathematics Magazine 75 (2002), 243(255).

Cukier, K., and Mayer-Schoenberger, V.

The rise of big data: How it's changing the way we think about the world.

Foreign Affairs 92, 3 (2013), 28(40).

Doucet, A., de Freitas, N., and Gordon, N.

Sequential monte carlo in practice.
New York: Springer-Verlag (2001).



Doucet, A., Godsill, S., and Andrieu, C.

On sequential monte carlo sampling methods for bayesian filtering.
Statistics and Computing 10, 9 (2000), 197–208.



Farmer, J. D., and Foley, D.

The economy needs agent-based modelling.
Nature 460 (2009), 685–686.



Gatheral, J., and Jacquier, A.

Convergence of Heston to SVI.
Quantitative Finance 11 (2011), 1129–1132.



Goodfellow, I. J., Pouget-Abadie, J., Mirza, M., Xu, B., Warde-Farley, D., Ozair, S., Courville, A., and Bengio, Y.

Generative adversarial networks.



Johnson, T. C.

Finance and mathematics: Where is the ethical malaise?
The Mathematical Intelligencer 37, 4 (Dec 2015), 8–11.



Lee, J.-G., and Minseo, K.

Geospatial big data: Challenges and opportunities.



Leonard, C.

Après la crise, l'enseignement de la finance repense.



Liu, J., and Chen, R.

Sequential monte carlo methods for dynamic systems.
Journal of the American Statistical Association.



Lotka, A. J.

Elements of physical biology.
Williams & Wilkins Co. (1925).



Mahdavi-Damghani, B.

UTOPE-ia.

Wilmott Magazine 60 (2012), 28–37.



Mahdavi-Damghani, B.

The non-misleading value of inferred correlation: An introduction to the cointelation model.

Wilmott 67 (2013), 50—61.



Mahdavi-Damghani, B.

Introducing the implied volatility surface parametrisation (IVP):application to the fx market.



Mahdavi-Damghani, B.

Introducing the HFTE model: a multi-species predator prey ecosystem for high frequency quantitative financial strategies.

Wilmott Magazine 89 (2017), 52–69.



Mahdavi-Damghani, B., and Kos, A.

De-arbitraging with a weak smile.

Wilmott Magazine (2013).



Mahdavi-Damghani, B., and Roberts, S.

A proposed risk modeling shift from the approach of stochastic differential equation towards machine learning clustering: Illustration with the concepts of anticipative & responsible VaR.

University of Oxford - Oxford-Man Institute of Quantitative Finance (2017).



Mahdavi-Damghani, B., and Roberts, S.

Machine learning techniques for deciphering implied volatility surface data in a hostile environment: Scenario based particle filter, risk factor decomposition & arbitrage constraint sampling.

University of Oxford - Oxford-Man Institute of Quantitative Finance (2018).



Mahdavi-Damghani, B., and Roberts, S.

A bottom-up approach to the financial markets agent-based quantitative algorithmic strategies: Ecosystem, dynamics & detection.



Mahdavi-Damghani, B., Welch, D., O'Malley, C., and Knights, S.

The misleading value of measured correlation.

Wilmott 62 (2012), 64—73.



Nanex.

Strange days june 8'th, 2011 - natgas algo, 2011.



Nowak, M.

Evolutionary dynamics : exploring the equations of life.

2006.



Sidenbladh, H.

Multi-target particle filtering for the probability hypothesis density.

Proceedings 6th International Conference on Information Fusion (2003), 800–806.



Sidenbladh, H., and Wirkander, S.

Particle filtering for finite random sets.

IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems (2003).



Sirignano, J., and Spiliopoulos, K.

Dgm: A deep learning algorithm for solving partial differential equations.

Journal of Computational Physics 375 (2018), 1339 – 1364.



Villani, C.

Cedric villani: la simulation numérique est un enjeu majeur pour la société, 2012.



Volterra, V.

Variazioni e fluttuazioni del numero d'individui in specie animali conviventi.

Mem. Acad. Lincei Roma (1926), 31–113.